#### JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT FOR CM/GC SELECTION

### Department of Administrative Services – Division of Archives New Archives Building and Rio Grande Depot Remodel DFCM Project No. 98188300

The Archives selection committee was very impressed with each of the contractors and expresses its appreciation to them for their efforts and interest in the project. The top three firms were extremely close, making for a very difficult decision.

From the short-listed firms, the selection committee resolved to rank Okland Construction as the firm that would provide the best value to the State of Utah for the Archives Building and Rio Grande Depot Remodel.

The Okland team is immediately available to proceed with pre-construction and construction, they presented a well thought-out moving plan and schedule, they offered the best historic insight among the proposing firms, and presented a reasonable cost proposal.

### Final Ranking

- 1. S
- 2. T
- 3. I
- 4. R
- 5. N
- 6. A

## **VBS Selection Final Scoring Matrix**

Department of Administrative Services - Division of Archives New Archives Building and Rio Grande Depot Remodel - CM/GC Selection DFCM Project No. 98188300

### 16 April 2003

Selection Criteria	T					R				Α					
Past Performance Rating	4.7	4.5	4.2	5	4	4.8	5	4	5	4	4	3.5	4.5	5	4
Strength of Team	4.8	4	4	4	3.5	4.3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Project Management Approach	4.8	4	4	5	4	4.3	4	3.5	2	3	3.5	3	3.5	3	2
Schedule	5	3	4	5	4	4.2	4	4.2	2	3	3	4	2.5	3	2
Experience as Construction Manager	5	4	4.7	5	4	4.5	4	4	3	4	4	4	3.5	3	3
Ability to Communicate Effectively with A/E and Owner/User	5	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	3.5	3	2
Experience in Meeting Aggressive Time Schedules	4.8	4	4.6	5	4	4.5	4	4	3	3	3.5	4	3.5	3	2
Experience in Meeting Tight Budgets	4.5	4	4	5	4	3.5	4	3.6	3	3	4	4	3.7	3	
Experience in Dealing With Historic Guidelines and Their Constraints	4.5	4	3.5	4	3	5	5	4.7	5	3	4	3	3.5	4	2
The Degree to Which CM/GC Brings Creativity and Ingenuity to a Role Usually Reserved for A/E's	5	4	3.5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3.5	4	3.5	3	2
								N							
Selection Criteria			_					N					· ·		
Selection Criteria			1					N					S		
Selection Criteria  Past Performance Rating	5	5	4.6	5	5	4.5	4	<b>N</b>	5	4	4.9	5	<b>s</b>	5	4
	5 4.8	5 4	4.6	5	5	4.5	4		5	4	4.9	5 4		5	
Past Performance Rating								3.8					4.3		5
Past Performance Rating Strength of Team	4.8	4	4	4	5	4	3	3.8	4	3	4.8	4	4.3	4	5
Past Performance Rating  Strength of Team  Project Management Approach	4.8	4	4	4 5	5 5	4	3	3.8 3.2 3.5	4	3	4.8 5	4	4.3	4 5	5 5
Past Performance Rating  Strength of Team  Project Management Approach  Schedule	4.8	4 4	4 4.5	4 5 5	5 5 5	4 4	3 3 4	3.8 3.2 3.5	4 4	3 3	4.8 5 4.9	4 4 5	4.3 4.3 4.2 4.5	4 5 5	5 5
Past Performance Rating  Strength of Team  Project Management Approach  Schedule  Experience as Construction Manager  Ability to Communicate Effectively with A/E and	4.8 4.8 4.8	4 4 5	4.5	4 5 5 5	5 5 5	4 4 4	3 3 4 4	3.8 3.2 3.5 4 3.5	4 4 4	3 3 3	4.8 5 4.9	4 4 5 5	4.3 4.3 4.2 4.5	5 5	5 5 5
Past Performance Rating  Strength of Team  Project Management Approach  Schedule  Experience as Construction Manager  Ability to Communicate Effectively with A/E and Owner/User	4.8 4.8 4.8 5	4 4 5 5	4 4 4.5 4.3	4 5 5 5	5 5 5 5	4 4 4	3 3 4 4 3	3.8 3.2 3.5 4 3.5 3.5	4 4 4 3	3 3 3 3	4.8 5 4.9 5	4 4 5 5	4.3 4.2 4.5 4.5	4 5 5 5	5 5 5 5
Past Performance Rating  Strength of Team  Project Management Approach  Schedule  Experience as Construction Manager  Ability to Communicate Effectively with A/E and Owner/User  Experience in Meeting Aggressive Time Schedules	4.8 4.8 4.8 5 4.8	4 4 5 5 4	4 4 4.5 4.3 4	4 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5	4 4 4 4	3 3 4 4 3	3.8 3.2 3.5 4 3.5 3.5	4 4 4 3 3	3 3 3 3	4.8 5 4.9 5 4.8	4 4 5 5 4 4	4.3 4.3 4.2 4.5 4.5 4	5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5

# DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION OF FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

PROPOSALS COVERING: DATE: April 8, 2003

## NEW ARCHIVES BUILDING AND RIO GRANDE REMODEL SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

DFCM Project No: 98188300 Construction Budget: \$6,000,000

CONTRACTOR	BID SEC	ADD REC	PRE- CONST PERIOD WORK	CONST PHASE MGMT SERVICES	INSURANCE PREMIUMS	BOND COSTS	SUPERVISIO N & SUPPORT TEAM / MTH	CHANGE ORDER %	SELF PERFORMED WORK %	LABOR BURDEN %
T	BB	1	\$6,400	\$188,500	\$20,664	\$50,615	\$15,784	5%	5%	42%
R	BB	1	29,400	195,400	42,008	48,400	18,747	5%	8%	48.64%
A	BB	1	15,700	120,000	48,600	46,200	16,000	2%	2%	44%
I	BB	1	81,663	206,300	13,114	35,400	15,456	6%	8%	38%
N	BB	1	15,000	195,000	12,218	39,922	7,420	8%	8%	25%
S	BB	-	18,000	180,000	7,850	30,050	11,950	5%	5%	39%

I hereby certify this to be a true and exact tabulation of proposals received for the above named project on April 8, 2003 at 4:00 p.m.

Susan L. Smith Contract Coordinator